



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/085,310	02/28/2002	Sherridythe A. Fraser	035451-0185 (3731.Palm)	7608		
26371	7590 12/13/2005		EXAMINER			
	FOLEY & LARDNER LLP			ABDULSELAM, ABBAS I		
SUITE 3800	ISCONSIN AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MILWAUKE	EE, WI 53202-5308		2677			
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/085,310	FRASER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Abbas I. Abdulselam	2677	

	Abbas I. Abdulselam	26/7			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 05 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of		
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t 	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0031160		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			ecause		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		1 L 001011/1,			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	NA CONTRACTOR AND CONTRACTOR	P. A.A	(DTOL OOA)		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 		timals flad amondma	ant consoling the		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable ii submilled in a separale,	umely liled amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14 and 17-29</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a		
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after ea	ntry is below or attach	ned.		
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application ir	condition for allowar	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)			
13. ☐ Other:		AMF PRIMAF	R A. AWAD IY EXAMINER		
		how A horsel	Y EXAMINER		
		Mushing	IN MC		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the previous office action. Applicant argues that the reference cited does not teach "a hand held computing device". The examiner maintains the response made in the previous office action, In addition, This limitation in terms of size is a design choice and is not patentably significant as shown In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).